

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

74

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/837,345	04/19/2001	Bjorn Claesson	024444-913	7689
7590 12/11/2003			EXAMINER	
Ronald L. Grudziecki, Esq.			GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS	
BURNS, DOANE & SWECKER, MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
60%	09/837,345	CLAESSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer H Gay	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON to the course the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,11 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,11 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s).	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of evisional application has been re c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. sceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intension Summer	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13 	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,345

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 11, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott et al. (US 5,890,552) in view of Yong et al. (US 6,460,636), Drake (US 4,595,067, cited by applicant), and Russell et al. (US 6,470,558).

Regarding claims 1, 2,7, 14, and 15: Scott et al. discloses a roller cone drill bit that includes the following features:

- A support (see Figure 1 and col. 6, lines 5-23).
- A plurality of cone cutter (21, 23, or 25) rotatably mounted by bearings (see col. 6, lines 18-21) to the support.
- Rows of cutting elements (33, 43, and 53) are attached to the cutter. Each of the elements includes a body (100) that has a working portion, an opposing mounting portion, and an intermediate portion from which the working portion and the mounting portion extend (see Figure 6). The diameter of each element is the greatest at the intermediate portion and the greatest height extends between the tip of the working portion and a transition portion between the intermediate portion and the mounting portion.

Scott et al. discloses all of the limitations of the above claims except for the elements being adhered to the cutters by a metallurgical bond, i.e. electric arc welding, and except for the ratio between the height and diameter of the elements being less than 1.2.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,345

Art Unit: 3672

In the abstract, Drake teaches a roller cone bit where the cutting elements are adhered to the cutter cones by friction welding.

In column 3, lines 12-29, Russell et al. teaches that it is well known in the art that electric arc welding methods may be used in place of friction welding methods in the drill bit art.

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have adhered the elements of Scott et al. to the cutters by electric and welding as taught by Drake and Russell et al. in order to have been substantially free of mechanical interconnections (see Abstract of Drake).

In column 9, lines 15-25, Yong et al. teaches a cutting element with a H/D<1.2. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have formed the cutting elements of Scott et al. with and H/D less than 1.2 as taught by Yong et al. in order to have reduced the tensile stress on the element.

Regarding claims 11 and 13: As seen in Figure 1, Yong et al. teaches a cutting element that has a working portion that is convexly curved.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 7, 11, and 13-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/837,345

Art Unit: 3672

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-111/3.

David Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

JHG December 3, 2003